

	full	abrdgd
Elements of my critique of <i>R v Jones</i>	29 740	
INTRODUCTION =	1 899	
Factual summary =	1 116	
My Analysis =	775	
PART 1: The prohibition on “judge made” crimes =	6 757	
1.1 The Authorities of <i>Knulier</i> and <i>Nulyarimma</i> =	1 454	
1.2 The principle of “certainty” =	1 732	
1.3 Public policy against judicial discretion =	915	
1.4 The executive role in the “creation” of customary crime =	2 651	
PART 2 : Incorporation by statute versus adoption by the common law =	4 994	
Introduction =	245	
2.1 The treaty law principle =	249	
2.2 The extra-territoriality principle =	314	
2.3 Distinguishing <i>Keyn & Hutchinson</i> =	1 665	
2.4 Examples of International crimes being incorporated by statute =	954	
2.5 The case of piracy =	1 552	
PART 3 : Constitutional duty versus judicial discretion =	4 743	
3.1 Introduction =	507	
3.2 The Authorities cited by Lord Bingham =	2 191	
3.3 Comment on Bingham’s conclusions =	576	
3.4 Hoffmann on non-justiciability and “discretionary” powers =	1 476	
PART 4 = Who decides what is “reasonable” =	11 347	
4.1 The improper nature of Lord Hoffmann’s diatribe =	2 458	
4.2 “Reasonableness” is a question of fact for the jury =	2 416	
4.3 Lord Hoffmann’s perspective on “reasonableness” =	2 249	
4.4 Withdrawing the defence in “protest cases” =	2 654	
4.5 The objection to Lord Hoffmann’s directions =	504	
Overall conclusions =	1 057	